

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



K

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/979,810	11/25/1997	TOSHIYUKI ONO	ASA-689	6236
24956	7590 03/18/2003			
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370			EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON JR, FOREST	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/979,810	ONO ET AL.				
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Forest Thompson Jr.	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official of the control of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final of	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 March 2003</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2.</li> <li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		h in			
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li> </ul>						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially reducing or sin	anlifying the			
issues for appeal; and/or	Thetter form for appear by mate	rially reducing or sin	inpinying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· , ,—		nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· 6	-			
10. Other:  fout Thousands.  Au 76 21-  (203) 306-5-449	Prime	ey A. Smith ary Examiner				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: examiner considers that the prior art used in the FINAL rejection discloses the applicants' invention. The applicant's response did not amend the claims, and presented arguments that ar not persuasive over the prior art. The art used in the FINAL REJECTION are Talati (U.S. Patent No. 5,903,878) and Wiecha (U.S. Patent No. 5,870,717), are prior art to the invention of applicant, and are both classified in the same business art under 705/26. Examiner maintains that both are compatible art that present features/aspects that are complementary and disclosures of applicants' invention.